TY EMPLOYER 

AMIZOUS 2

H MITES





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/416,331	10/12/1999	SHUAN S. AMINI	EYEC-001/00U	6010	
. 75	01/29/2003				
Vigilos Inc			EXAMINER		
Attn Bob Shuman 2030 First Avenue Suite 101 Seattle, WA 98121		an, shawn s			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2613		
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2003

Technology Center 2600

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/416,331** 

Applicant(s)

Shuan Amini et al.

Examiner

Shawn An

Art Unit 2613



The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS STHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
	). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply wit	thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a	pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ca</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dat</li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 2	2, 2002
2a)  ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is a parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗴 Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.
10) The drawing(s) filed on is.	/are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No
application from the International B	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome.	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) L The translation of the foreign language provision	
	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)	— .
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Information Disclarus Commence(a) (DTO 1440) Report No.(a)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

- 1. As per Applicant's instructions in Paper 11 as filed on 11/22/02, claims 1, 4, 13, 16, 17, and 27 have been amended, and claims 31-50 have been newly added.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/416,331

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 11-12, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-23, 25, 27-29, 33, 37-38, 41, and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acosta et al (6,166,729).

Acosta et al discloses a <u>centralized</u> video surveillance and monitoring system (Fig. 1, 10), comprising:

a <u>control</u> server (Fig. 1, 18) coupled to a private network (14) that enables communication with surveillance cameras (12) <u>corresponding to geographical sites</u>, wherein at least two <u>surveillance cameras correspond to geographically distinct sites</u> (remote locations) (abs.; col. 1, lines 61-67 and col. 2, lines 1-2) as specified in claims 1-2, 6, 16-17, and 27-29.

Acosta further discloses a centralized off site <u>control</u> site (Fig. 1, 16), including an image database (col. 17, lines 48-53) and at least one server (Fig. 5) being operative to <u>initialize</u> <u>communications between the surveillance cameras (12) and at least one off-site client workstation (22) coupled to the public network (internet), to coordinate the retrieval of video images from the cameras, to produce the retrieved video images, and to archive the retrieved video images in the database for subsequent production to at least one client workstation, <u>wherein the client</u> <u>workstation cannot initialize communication with the surveillance cameras</u> (summary) as also specified in claims 7, 9, 18, and 20.</u>

Regarding claim 3, Acosta et al discloses private network (14) coupled to a camera server (col. 10, lines 18-21), which is coupled to one or more surveillance cameras (12).

Regarding claim 4, Acosta discloses cameras producing composite NTSC video signals, and operable to capture the NTSC signals and convert NTSC video signals (Fig. 2, 12).

Regarding claims 11 and 22, Acosta discloses a video image record further including information, which identified an event, which led to capture of the video image data (col. 8, lines 1-27) as specified.

Regarding claims 12 and 23, Acosta discloses the off site server being operative to receive event data from a client site and to perform a course of action based upon parameters in a configuration file (col. 13, lines 22-67 and col. 14, lines 1-22) as specified.

Application/Control Number: 09/416,331

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 47-48, Acosta discloses generating a web-based user interface for displaying the video image data (col. 27, lines 58-60).

Regarding claims 14 and 25, Acosta discloses the off-site server being operative to issue a request for video data upon receipt of identifying an event (col. 9, lines 46) as specified.

Regarding claim 33, Acosta et al discloses a server controlling the retrieval of real-time video data corresponding to a time parameter in the configuration file (col. 2, lines 37-42).

Regarding claim 37-38, Acosta et al discloses the server (18) generating an web-based interface for displaying real-time video images from a client sites (22).

Regarding claim 41, Acosta et al discloses extracting real time video data from the camera utilizing block data management scheme (Fig. 5).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5, 8, 10, 13, 15, 19, 21, 24, 26, 30-32, 39-40, 42-43, and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acosta et al (6,166,729).

Regarding claim 5, the Examiner takes official notice that a camera such as a web/network camera in a self contained web server is obviously well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a video surveillance and monitoring system as taught by Acosta et al to incorporate the web camera so as to monitor a surveillance area.

Application/Control Number: 09/416,331

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claims 8 and 19, the Examiner takes official notice that it is considered a simple design modification to change Acosta's video data to a temporary file, which is well known in the art, and obviously rename the temp file to the file that is retrievable by the client workstation, if the user deemed it necessary.

Regarding claims 10, 21, and 42-43, the Examiner takes official notice that it is obviously well known in the art for a video image recorded including video image data and a date-time value including a time-zone offset value so that an user knows exact date and time of any event of the recorded video data from any zoned area.

Regarding claims 13, 15, 24, 26, and 31, the Examiner takes official notice that sending an e-mail message and a text page (via Fax) from a server to one or more recipients alerting the event is well known in the art (note: Vaios (6,271,752 B1); col. 2, lines 26-33).

Regarding claim 30, Acosta et al discloses JavaScripts (col. 27, lines 38-53).

Additionally, the Examiner takes official notice that Java code and hypertext transport protocol are conventionally well known in the art (note: Vaios; col. 7, lines 39-56).

Regarding claim 32, the Examiner takes official notice that a server operating to associate time-zone offset to the real time video data is conventional and well known in the art so as to incorporate the differences in actual time between time zones.

Regarding claims 39-40 and 49-50, the Examiner takes official notice that a web-based interface comprising a number of hyperlinks corresponding to predefined periods of time or months in a year and operable to generate additional screen interfaces, are strictly design choice (web-based design) and conventional in the art.

7. Claims 34-36 and 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acosta et al (6,166,729) in view of Ono (6,133,941).

Acosta et al does not particularly disclose a server generating a camera control code instructing the cameras to move in a predefined position.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/416,331

Art Unit: 2613

However, Ono teaches a camera control server (Fig. 1, 11) generating a camera control code (11b) for instructing the cameras to move in a predefined position after a designated period of time as specified in claims 34-36 and 44-46.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the relevant art employing a video surveillance and monitoring system as taught by Acosta et al to incorporate a camera control server as taught by Ono so as to instruct the Acosta et al's cameras to move to a series of predefined positions when desired by clients or a surveillance monitor.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.
  - A) Vaios (6,271,752 B1), Intelligent multi-access system.

Art Unit: 2613

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawn An whose telephone number (703) 305-0099 and schedule are Tuesday-Friday (Monday off)...

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

SSA

January 26, 2003

# Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 09/416,331		Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexam Shuan Amini et al.		
Examiner Shawn An	•	Art Unit 2613	Page 1 of 1	

# U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Cla	ssification 2
A	6,133,941	10/2000	ONO	348	15
В					
С					
D					
E					
F					
G					
н					
1					
J					
к					
L					
М					

#### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code  Date MM-YYYY		Country	Name	Classification <sup>2</sup>
N					
0					
Р					*
a					
R					
s					
т					

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

	Include, as applicable: Author, Title, Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages
U	
٧	
w	
x	

<sup>\*</sup> A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. See MPEP § 707,05(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates.